UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
JAMES CORNETT,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ § 8	
versus	8 8 8	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-656
LINDA S. McMAHON, ¹	§	
Commissioner of Social Security	§	
Administration,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The Court heretofore ordered that this matter be referred to the Honorable Keith F. Giblin, United States Magistrate Judge, for consideration pursuant to applicable law and orders of this Court. The Court has received and considered the report of the United States magistrate judge pursuant to such order, along with the record, pleadings and all available evidence. The magistrate judge submitted a Report and Recommendation which recommends affirming the Commissioner's denial of Supplemental Security Income benefits.

Plaintiff filed timely objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. This entitles plaintiff to a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

Most of plaintiff's objections dispute the magistrate judge's conclusions regarding sufficiency of evidence in support of the Commissioner's decision. After careful consideration, the court concludes that the magistrate judge correctly determined that the Commissioner's decision is supported by substantial evidence.

Linda S. McMahon was designated Acting Commissioner on January 22, 2007, succeeding Jo Anne Barnhart. She is automatically substituted as a party pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 405(g) and Rule 25(d)(1), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiff also proffers as new evidence an X-ray dated February 7, 2007. The court may

consider new evidence only for the limited purpose of determining whether it provides grounds

for remand. Leggett v. Chater, 67 F.3d 558, 567 (5th Cir. 1995). Plaintiff's new evidence

originated almost sixteen months after the Commissioner's final decision. Evidence originating

far outside the relevant time period does not provide grounds for remand. Falco v. Shalala, 27

F.3d 160, 164 (5th Cir. 1994).

Plaintiff's objections are without merit.

ORDER

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and

conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct and the report of the magistrate judge is

ADOPTED. A final judgment will be entered separately, affirming the Commissioner's decision

and dismissing this action.

SIGNED at Beaumont, Texas, this 27th day of February, 2007.

MARCIA A. CRONE

Maria a. Crone

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2